

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/779,588	EBY, WILLIAM H.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Russell Kallis	1638	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Russell Kallis.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kenyon Schuett.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 June 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph written description with respect to backcross method. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 23-29 as set forth in the examiner's amendment.*

Claims discussed:

*all*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*An examiners amendment was proposed to bring claims into condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)